

Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,649	01/18/2002	Danny S. Barnes	005557.P008 5629		
7590 06/06/2006			EXAMINER		
Todd M. Becker			SELBY, GEVELL V		
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & Z	AFMAN LLP			
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2622		

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>	Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/052,649		BARNES ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Gevell Selby		2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, cation. by period will apply and will end by statute, cause the applical	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time six (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) ☐ 3) ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	☑ This action is non allowance except for	r formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are valued. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consi					
	•						
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	) accepted or b) □ on to the drawing(s) be le e correction is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	_	) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da ) Notice of Informal P ) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/052,649 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see the amendment, filed 3/24/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Satoh et al, US 2003/0193602.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 19 recites the limitation "frame grabber" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For examination purposes, the term "frame grabber" will be replaced with the term "image buffer".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2622

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

6. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bjorner et al., in view of Satoh et al., US 2003/0193602.

In regard to claims 1, 7, 10 and 15, Bjorner et al., US, 6,236,735, discloses an apparatus and process for operating the apparatus comprising:

a machine-vision system comprising an internal camera (see figure 4, element 22 and column 7, lines 20-35: The camera is internal to or part of the machine-vision system) operatively connected to an image buffer (see figure 4, element 68), and a digital signal processing unit (see figure 4, element 30 and figures 5 and 7: The host computer's CPU performs the processing for the system); and

a camera port connected to a image buffer (see figure 4, element 54: It is inherent there is a video input port in order for the video signal (54) from the camera 16 to enter the computer), wherein the port is adapted to allow an external camera (16) to be connected to the machine vision system (see column 10, lines 25-33 and column 11, lines 7-12).

The Bjorner reference discloses two image buffers (low res. line buffer 56 and high res. line buffer 68) and does not disclose that the camera port is connected to the same image buffer the same image buffer can capture images from both the internal camera and the external camera.

. Art Unit: 2622

Satoh et al., US 2003/0193602, discloses a camera system with a buffer memory or frame grabber (see figure 1, element 11) that can store both high resolution images and low resolution images (see para. 48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Bjorner et al., in view of Satoh et al., US 2003/0193602, to have one image buffer or frame grabber connected to both cameras to store both high resolution and low resolution images, in order to allow the system to store image data quicker and require less components and synchronization, thus making the system is more efficient.

In regard to claims 2, 8 and 11, Bjorner et al., in view of Satoh et al., US 2003/0193602, discloses the apparatus of claims 1, 7, and 10, respectively. The Bjorner and Satoh references do not disclose that the cameras output a digital signal. The Official Notice taken in the previous office action stating that is well known in the art to configure a camera to have an A/D converter to convert the analog signal to digital is taken as prior art. Since the applicant has not timely traversed the old and well known statement, the above is now considered admitted prior art. See MPEP 2144.03 (c).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to configure the system of the Bjorner reference to have the A/D converters in the cameras in order to send a digital signal to the processor.

In regard to claims 3, 9, 13 and 16, Bjorner et al., in view of Satoh et al., US 2003/0193602, discloses the apparatus and process of claims 1, 7, 10 and 15, respectively. The Bjorner reference discloses further comprising a decoder (see figure 4,

element 28) connected to the camera port and to the image buffer or frame grabber (see column 9, lines 45-57: The video processor or decoder is connected to the image buffer through the camera port of the video signal (54)).

In regard to claims 4 and 14, Bjorner et al., in view of Satoh et al., US 2003/0193602, discloses the apparatus of claims 3 and 10, respectively. The Bjorner reference discloses further comprising an external camera, wherein the external camera outputs an analog signal (see column 9, lines 45-49).

In regard to claims 5 and 11, Bjorner et al., in view of Satoh et al., US 2003/0193602, discloses the apparatus of claims 1 and 10, respectively. The Bjorner reference discloses wherein the internal camera comprises a lens and an image sensor (see column 7, lines 20-24: It is inherent the CCD camera has a lens to focus the light on the image sensor).

In regard to claim 6, Bjorner et al., in view of Satoh et al., US 2003/0193602, discloses the apparatus of claim 1. The Bjorner reference discloses further comprising a memory (see figure 4, element 58).

In regard to claim 17, Bjorner et al., in view of Satoh et al., US 2003/0193602, discloses the apparatus of claim 15. It is implied by the Bjorner reference that since both camera have their own belt encoder signal and line clock (see figure 6, element 602 and 603 and figure 9, element 902 and 904) and the objects are continually being captured while passing the cameras on a convey belt (see column 6, lines 35-44 and column 12, lines 46-55), then the camera operate in parallel with the high resolution camera

capturing the image of the object previously captured by the low resolution camera while the low resolution camera captures the next object on the conveyor belt.

In regard to claim 18, Bjorner et al., in view of Satoh et al., US 2003/0193602, discloses the apparatus of claim 15. The Bjorner reference discloses wherein the frame grabber can capture images from one of the internal camera (see column 7, lines 20-27) or the external camera (see column 7, lines 3-10).

In regard to claim 19, Bjorner et al., in view of Satoh et al., US 2003/0193602, discloses the apparatus of claim 1. It is implied by the Bjorner reference that a user starts the operation of the system (see column 6, lines 19-22) and thereby selects the frame grabber image buffer to capture images simultaneously from both the internal camera and the external camera.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gevell Selby whose telephone number is 571-272-7369. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 PM (every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on 571-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,649 Page 7

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

gvs

TUAN HO PRIMARY EXAMINER